

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROBERT J. LEDWITH

v.

BROOKS, et al.

:  
:  
:  
:  
:

CIVIL ACTION

No. 06-1799

ORDER

AND NOW, this 13<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2012, upon careful and independent consideration of the amended petition for a writ of *habeas corpus*, for the reasons in the attached memorandum, it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The original petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* (paper no. 1) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.
2. The Report and Recommendation (paper no. 7) and Supplemental Report and Recommendation (paper no. 21) of U.S. Magistrate Judge Hart as to the original petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* are **MOOT**.
3. The amended petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* (paper no. 59) is **DENIED**.
4. A certificate of appealability will **NOT BE ISSUED**. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

/s/ Norma L. Shapiro

---

J.